

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office V
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/776,858	02/06/2001	Kiyoshi Inamochi	010118	6845
23850	7590 12/02/2004		EXAM	INER
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			NGUYEN, NGA B	
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20006		3628	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		\mathcal{L}				
Office Action Summary	09/776,858	INAMOCHI, KIYOSHI				
omoc Aouton Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Nga B. Nguyen	3628				
Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet wi	ur die correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S	eptember 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 	_	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		polication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
,	ن التاري (التاري)	'				

Application/Control Number: 09/776,858 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the Amendment filed on September 14, 2004, which paper has been placed of record in the file.

2. Claims 1-10 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments/Amendment

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of new grounds of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 3628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Semple et al (hereinafter Semple), U.S. Patent No. 6,085,177.

Regarding to claim 1, Semple discloses an automatic transaction device, which carries out a normal transaction process with a predetermined host based upon a processing state table when a normal transaction operation is specified (figure 1 and column 3, lines 8-15; column 4, lines 28-32, 47-50, the ATM system 210 performs normal ATM transaction process with the bank 222 as known in the art), comprising:

a WEB transaction-use processing state table which enhances the normal processing state table and which stipulates a WEB transaction carried out by the WWW by the same specifications as the normal processing state table (column 4, lines 47-67; the ATM system 210 provides a normal user interface to both ATM transaction and the access the Internet, the user at the ATM system 210 can access the WWW by pressing the "Web Access" key 230, the user can print out map, direction information using the printer 236 the same as printing a receipt in a normal transaction, thus the ATM system

210 using two processing state tables separately, a normal processing state table and a WEB transaction-use processing state table); and

WEB transaction processing unit which executes a WEB transaction process with a predetermined WEB server based upon the WEB transaction-use processing state table when the WEB transaction is selected (figure 1 and column 4, lines 5-12, 47-67; the ATM system 210 connected to servers 216 via the Internet, the ATM system 210 allows the user access the WWW by pressing the "Web Access" key 230, the system loads web browser software out of memory 232).

Regarding to claim 3, Semple discloses acquiring means for acquiring the WEB transaction-use processing state table through a communication line (figure 1 and column 4, lines 13-16, the ATM system 210 connects to the Internet 214 through a modem or dedicated communication card 218).

Regarding to claim 10, Semple discloses a recording medium, which has a transaction program for allowing a computer to execute various transaction methods, and is read by a computer, comprising:

a transaction program for allowing the computer to execute WEB transaction processing operation with the predetermined server based upon the WEB transaction-use processing state table when a WEB transaction is selected (figure 1 and column 4, lines 25-67; the ATM system 210 includes the processor 224 which provides for communication access with the Internet 214, the web browser software stored in the memory 232 allows the user access to the WWW).

Application/Control Number: 09/776,858 Page 5

Art Unit: 3628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Semple et al (hereinafter Semple), U.S. Patent No. 6,085,177, in view of Flenley, U.S. Patent No. 6,505,178.

Regarding to claims 2, 5, 8, Semple does not disclose the WEB transaction processing unit transmits information obtained in the normal transaction to the WEB server to which the accessing is made. However, Flenley discloses the WEB transaction processing unit transmits information obtained in the normal transaction to the WEB server to which the accessing is made (column 5, line 55-column 6, line 7; the user's bank account information obtained in the normal transaction at the Bank server is transmitted to other sites, e.g. Airline booking system site, utility company sites, supermarket sites in order to pay for the transaction obtained at those sites). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Semple's to include the feature above, for the purpose of providing more convenient and time consuming for the user because the user need not to enter the account information when performing WEB transaction.

Regarding to claims 6, 9, Semple further discloses acquiring means for acquiring the WEB transaction-use processing state table through a communication line (figure 1 and column 4, lines 13-16, the ATM system 210 connects to the Internet 214 through a modem or dedicated communication card 218).

Regarding to claims 4, 7, Semple does not disclose the WEB transaction-use processing state table include: a definition of a screen displayed upon access to a WEB server; a definition of an extension file in which one portion of the definition for the WEB transaction is written; a definition of a screen upon printing a receipt; a definition of a screen upon outputting the receipt; a definition of the next processing state table upon completion of a normal WEB transaction; a definition of the next processing state table upon completion of a WEB transaction with a predetermined code; a definition of a screen in the event of time out during the first URL navigation, a definition of an extension processing state table being allowed to include the state of a screen in the event of time-out during the first URL navigation, a definition of URL to which a navigation is made in the event of an error, a definition of a timer determining time-out of the URL navigation made in the event of an error, and a definition of display time of a display used upon detection of the time-out of the first URL navigation. However, Flenley discloses the WEB transaction-use processing state table include: a definition of a screen displayed upon access to a WEB server; a definition of an extension file in which one portion of the definition for the WEB transaction is written (column 2, lines 5-60). Moreover, the rest of features are well known in the art of accessing the Internet.

Art Unit: 3628

For example, when a user conducts transactions over the Internet, the web pages written in html displaying to the user that a receipt is printing, receipt is outputting, a transaction confirmation page with a transaction ID upon the user completes the transaction, a page with message "the page has been expired" displayed to the user when the user tries to obtain the previous pages by clicking the back button in the toolbar, a page displayed an error message when the user try to access a not existing web site, etc...Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Semple's to combine the teaching of Flenley and the well known features above for the purpose of assisting the customer to access and retrieve information over the Internet.

Conclusion

- 9. Claims 1-10 are rejected.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-2901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on (703) 308-0505.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-1113.

Art Unit: 3628

11. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

C/o Technology Center 3600

Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326 (for formal communication intended for entry),

or

(703) 308-3691 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Nga B. Nguyen

November 18, 2004